

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/665,763 | 09/18/2003 | Rupert Seidlein | 1 | 6376 |
| Lucent Techno | 7590 03/13/2007 llogies Inc. | EXAMINER | | |
| Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219) 101 Crawfords Corner Road Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030 | | | HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2134 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MO | NTHS | 03/13/2007 | /13/2007 PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/665,763 | SEIDLEIN, RUPERT | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Α. | Matthew Heneghan | 2134 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se | eptember 2003. | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | relection requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r | | | | |
| · · | The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2004</u> is/are: | | to by the Examiner | | | |
| , | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/665,763 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-24 have been examined.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Characters are missing on p. 2, line 22 and p. 4, line 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claimed invention is an apparatus, but the preamble states that it comprises method steps. It is being presumed that the invention is an apparatus and that the limitations teach to parts of that apparatus. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2)

Page 3

of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0217151 to Roese et al.

As per claims 1, 8-11, and 18, Roese discloses a location-based access system in which a user attempting access is authenticated (identity determined) (see paragraph 103), and the location information for a terminal is used for determining access while a second system, such as a mobile (wireless) unit, may be used to independently verify the location (see paragraph 97), which requires a comparison of locations. Access is based upon a determination of the location (see paragraph 103). The mobile unit may be a trusted user device, i.e. associated with the user and the user's ID (see paragraphs 106 and 107).

As per claims 2, 4, 12, 14, 19, and 21, the client may be queried by the server to determine its location (see paragraph 70). This query may also be used in querying the mobile unit in verifying the location.

As per claims 3, 13, and 20, the location of the client may be fixed, in which case the location is archived by the server for further use in a database (see paragraph 31).

As per claims 5, 6, 15, 16, 22, and 23, the authentication process may involve the retrieving of user-inputted information, such as a password, from any client (see paragraph 106), thus establishing the user, location, and client.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,763 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

As per claims 7, 17, and 24, access that would otherwise be granted may be prohibited if the clients are more than a certain distance apart (see paragraph 63).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand, can be reached at (571) 272-3811.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-3800

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,763

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEH

March 5, 2007

Matthew Heneghan, USPTO Art Unit 2134

Page 5